Class Meetings: This course meets in Hamilton Hall, Room 517, on Wednesday mornings between 9:00 and 11:50 AM.

Description. History 783 is designed as a capstone course for the Center for Slavic, Eurasian, and East European Studies' interdisciplinary MA program in Russian and East European Studies. The purpose of the course is to introduce students to some of the most important issues in modern, twentieth-century, Russian and East European history in order to provide a common base of knowledge that can be used in developing individualized courses of study. History 783 is also ideal for graduate students in the History Department and in other programs for whom it can likewise serve as an introduction to the field. In selecting the readings, I opted for works that not only address critical issues in the history of this part of the world, but are also of value from a methodological or interpretive perspective. Among other topics, the readings address nationalism, the experience of war and revolution, Communism's appeal, gender, the rise and fall of the Communist order, and key developments in the region in the post-Communist era. We will also consider what is particularly "Russian" or "East European" about the issues under discussion, and raise fresh questions that might inform your own research agenda as you continue with your studies.

During the semester, students will read a book a week in common and subject the works to rigorous discussion. Each student will be responsible for leading a class
discussion. In addition, students will write five four-page critical reviews as per the instructions found on the syllabus and posted on Sakai.

**Office Hours.** My office is located in Hamilton Hall 410. I will hold office hours this semester on Wednesday between 1:30 and 2:30 PM, on Thursday between 5 and 6:00 PM, and by appointment. My office telephone number is 962-8077. You also can reach me via e-mail at djr@email.unc.edu.

**Grading.** Grading will be based on the formula indicated below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leading a discussion</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five 4-page papers</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**My Expectations.** I expect students to attend all class sessions, to complete all reading and writing assignments on time, to take active part in weekly discussions, to show respect for others’ opinions, and to refrain from dominating the discussion. Each student will also lead a discussion. This entails posting a summary of major book reviews, background on the author, and questions for discussion on the appropriate thread on Sakai by noon on the Monday before class, and leading the discussion on Wednesday. In doing the latter, I encourage you to use your imagination and to be playful. I welcome you to consult with me the week before you assume responsibility for leading a discussion.

**Critical Reviews.** I will assign students to one of two teams, A and B, which will be responsible for reviewing different books, as indicated below under weekly assignments. All students will post their reviews on Sakai before class and submit a hard copy to me.

**Instructions for Critical Reviews.** Please use these points as guidelines, but avoid being formulaic. Not all of the points will apply to each of the books we will discuss this term.

1. Craft a review of no more than four pages in length (standard margins and standard 12-point font). Draft your review, edit it, put it aside, edit it again while reading it out loud, and run spell check. Review my hints for powerful writing.
3. Offer a brief statement about the book's author, when appropriate. I do not have in mind a list of academic positions, but relevant information that might help us appreciate the author’s understanding of an historical problem. For instance, did the author participate in the events described? Is there anything in an author's background that might account for a specific bias? Is the book a revised doctoral dissertation? How qualified is the author to carry
out a task of this sort? (Often introductions, prefaces, book jackets, and publishers’ websites can be helpful sources of information. So can historians’ home pages.)

4. Identify the author’s methodology, major sources, and how he or she uses them. What kind of history is this? (Is the author a political, military, intellectual, gender, or cultural historian?) What type of book are you analyzing? (Is it a biography, an academic monograph, a memoir, a broad survey, a trade book, etc.?)

5. Discuss the subject and scope of the book (What does the book cover, that is, what is and is not in it?).

6. Isolate the book’s thesis or major argument. How does the author develop his/her argument?

7. Note the book’s intended audience. Is it fellow academics? The general public? Students? People with green stripes?

8. Comment on the value of the book. Did the author accomplish what he/she sought to achieve? Is it well written? Judge the book on its terms, not on yours. Are the conclusions significant? How has the profession received the book?


**Required Reading.** We will read and discuss a book a week and, on occasion, an additional article, chapter or other reading. The following titles, all required, are on 2-hour reserve in the Undergraduate Library and are also available for purchase at Student Stores. In the event you have already read any of these studies, please let me know so that I can assign you an alternative, complementary reading.

Poe, Marshall T. *The Russian Moment in World History*

Hirsch, Francine. *Empire of Nations: Ethnographic Knowledge and the Making of the Soviet Union*

Fitzpatrick, Sheila. *Everyday Stalinism: Ordinary Life in Extraordinary Times. Soviet Russia in the 1930s*


Zubok, Vladislav M. *A Failed Empire: The Soviet Union in the Cold War from Stalin to Gorbachev*

Raleigh, Donald J. *Soviet Baby Boomers: An Oral History of Russia’s Cold War Generation*

Colton, Timothy. *Yeltsin: A Life*

Wolff, L. *Inventing Eastern Europe*

Judson, Pieter. *Guardians of the Nation: Activists on the Language Frontiers of Imperial Austria*

Miłosz, Czesław. *Native Realm: A Search for Self-Definition*

Snyder, Timothy. *Bloodlands: Europe between Hitler and Stalin*

Rothschild, Joseph and Nancy Wingfield. *Return to Diversity: A*
Background Reading. Students bring diverse backgrounds into this course and may find it helpful to read a general text to help situate the books we will subject to discussion and review. For background on Eastern European history, I recommend R. J. Crampton, *Eastern Europe in the Twentieth Century* (London and New York, 1997), or the multivolume works of Ivan Berend. For Soviet history, I suggest you consult Ronald G. Suny’s *The Soviet Experiment*, 2nd ed. (Oxford and New York, 2011).

Weekly Assignments.

1. August 24. Introduction. Familiarize yourself with my handout available on Sakai “How to Read a Book” before reading Marshall Poe’s *The Russian Moment*, which we will discuss today. Write a one-page (single-spaced) summary of the author’s argument and craft five discussion questions. Please place your summaries and questions on separate sheets of paper that I will collect.


7. October 5. Discussion of Bren. Team A students submit reviews.

8. October 12. Discussion of Dunn. Team B students submit reviews today. (Today is University Day, but we will meet instead of adding a class at semester’s end.)


12. November 9. Author seminar with Professor Anna Krylova. Discussion of Soviet Women in Combat. No written reviews this week.


15. November 30. Author seminar with Donald J. Raleigh. Discussion of Soviet Baby Boomers. No written reviews this week